arXiv:1604.03237v1 [nucl-th] 12 Apr 2016

Neutrino Induced ^{4}He Break-up Reaction

-Application of the Maximum Entropy Method in Calculating Nuclear Strength Function-

Tomoya Murata[1], Wataru Horiuchi[2], Toru Sato[1] and Satoshi X. Nakamura[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*
[2]*Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

E-mail: murata@kern.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

(Received April 13, 2016)

The maximum entropy method is examined as a new tool for solving the ill-posed inversion problem involved in the Lorentz integral transformation (LIT) method. As an example, we apply the method to the spin-dipole strength function of ^{4}He. We show that the method can be successfully used for inversion of LIT, provided the LIT function is available with a sufficient accuracy.

KEYWORDS: neutrino reaction, supernova, Lorentz integral transformation method, maximum entropy method

1. Introduction

The neutrino-nucleus reactions play an important role for the heating and cooling mechanisms in the core collapse supernova explosion. Particularly the neutrino-^{4}He reactions have been of interest, and their effects on the accelerating shock wave [1] and nucleosynthesis [2] have been studied. The typical neutrino energy inside the supernova is tens of MeV, and the dominant reaction channel is ^{4}He breakup. Therefore an accurate theoretical treatment of the four-body scattering state is essential, which, however, is a hard task. The neutrino-^{4}He inclusive cross sections have been evaluated in a shell-model approach in ref. [3], while an *ab-initio* calculation has been done by making use of the Lorentz Integral Transformation (LIT) method [4]. Also, a recent work of strength function (SF) based on the correlated Gaussians and the complex scaling method [5] has been applied to the neutrino reaction [6].

Among those methods, the LIT method has been widely applied to the break-up reactions of few-nucleon systems, where function $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ defined with the SF $R(\omega)$ (ω: excitation energy) by an integral transformation,

$$L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I) = \int d\omega \frac{R(\omega)}{(\omega - \sigma_R)^2 + \sigma_I^2}, \tag{1}$$

plays a central role. The function L can be calculated by using bound state like wave functions of the many body system. Then the SF $R(\omega)$ is obtained by the inverse transformation of the above integral. Though the inversion of function expressed by the convolution is in principle possible using Fourier transformation, the method used in the literature is χ^2-fitting by using assumed functional form of SF such as a sum of exponentials [7, 8]. However, the ω-dependence of SF is not known a priori and the assumed functional form might lead to a false SF even the χ^2 minimum is achieved. In this report, we examine the maximum entropy method (MEM) as a new tool for the inversion of the LIT. In the MEM, we do not need to assume any functional form of the SF. The MEM is widely used in the fields of the condensed-matter physics, the Lattice QCD [9–11], and the Green's functions Monte Carlo method [12].

In section 2 and 3, we briefly explain the LIT method and the MEM. In section 4, we apply the MEM to the inversion of LIT. The results are reported for spin-dipole SF of ^{4}He as an example.

2. Lorentz Integral Transformation Method

We briefly explain the LIT method for calculating nuclear SFs. The SF of transition operator O is given as

$$R(\omega) = \Sigma_f \left|\langle\psi_f| O |\psi_0\rangle\right|^2 \delta(E_f - E_0 - \omega), \tag{2}$$

where ψ_0 and ψ_f are the initial and final states, respectively. Both the initial and final states are eigenstates of a Hamiltonian H with the energies E_0 and E_f. Here we define the LIT of SF as

$$L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I) = \int d\omega \frac{R(\omega)}{(\omega + E_0 - \sigma_R)^2 + \sigma_I^2}. \tag{3}$$

From the definition of SF, the LIT can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I) &= \langle\psi_0| O^\dagger (H + E_0 - \sigma_R + i\sigma_I)^{-1} (H + E_0 - \sigma_R - i\sigma_I)^{-1} O |\psi_0\rangle & (4)\\ &= \langle\tilde{\psi}|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\,, & (5)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\tilde{\psi}\rangle = (H + E_0 - \sigma_R - i\sigma_I)^{-1} O |\psi_0\rangle\,. \tag{6}$$

We see that the norm of $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$ is finite and thus $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$ can be treated like a bound state, which is a great advantage of this method, otherwise one has to construct the scattering state of a few-nucleon system. In the LIT method, one at first calculates $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ and then SF $R(\omega)$ is obtained by the inverse transformation of Eq. (3).

3. Maximum Entropy Method

We briefly explain how the MEM [13] can be used to extract the SF $R(\omega)$, knowing only a set of $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ obtained from the many-body calculation. According to the Bayes' theorem [14], the most plausible SF ($R^{\rm MEM}(\omega)$) is given by the functional integral of the SF $R(\omega)$:

$$R^{\rm MEM} = \int [dR] R P[R|\bar{L}, m], \tag{7}$$

where $P[R|\bar{L}, m]$ is a conditional probability of SF R for a given LIT denoted as $\bar{L}$ and prior information, denoted by m, for the SF. m is called the default model of the SF. Introducing an auxiliary variable α, we can rewrite the above formula as

$$R^{\rm MEM} = \int d\alpha R^\alpha P[\alpha|\bar{L}, m], \tag{8}$$

where R^α depends on α in addition to our inputs $\bar{L}$ and m, and is given by

$$R^\alpha = \int [dR] R P[R|\alpha, \bar{L}, m] \propto \int [dR] R P[\bar{L}|R, \alpha, m] P[R|\alpha, m]. \tag{9}$$

Here $P[\bar{L}|R, \alpha, m]$ and $P[R|\alpha, m]$ are called the likelihood function and prior probability, respectively and are given by

$$P[\bar{L}|R, \alpha, m] = \frac{1}{Z_\chi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2\right), \quad P[R|\alpha, m] = \frac{1}{Z_S} \exp\left(\alpha S\right). \tag{10}$$

with

$$\chi^2 = \Sigma_{l=1}^{N_{\sigma_R}} \frac{(\bar{L_l} - L_l)^2}{\delta_l^2}, \quad S = \Sigma_i^{N_\omega} \left(R_i - m_i - R_i \ln \frac{R_i}{m_i} \right) \tag{11}$$

$$Z_\chi = \Pi_{l=1} \sqrt{2\pi\delta_l^2}, \quad Z_S = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{N_\omega/2}. \tag{12}$$

Here S is called the Shannon-Jaynes entropy. The excitation energy ω is discretized as ω_i ($i = 1, 2, ..., N_\omega$) with equal spacing Δ_ω. R_i and m_i are given by $R_i = R(\omega_i)\Delta_\omega$ and $m_i = m(\omega_i)\Delta_\omega$. $\bar{L}_l$ is the given L at $\sigma_R = \sigma_R^l$ ($l = 1, 2, ..., N_{\sigma_R}$) with the error δ_l. L_l is calculated from R_i using Eq. (3) at $\sigma_R = \sigma_R^l$. Combining the likelihood function and the prior probability, the SF R^α is chosen to maximize the probability of $P[R|\alpha, \bar{L}, m] \propto e^{Q(R)}$ with $Q(R) = \alpha S - \frac{1}{2}\chi^2$.

Finally, as in Eq. (8), R^α is convoluted with the probability $P[\alpha|\bar{L}, m]$ that has a sharp peak as a function of α and is written as

$$P[\alpha|\bar{L}, m] = \int [dR] P[R, \alpha|\bar{L}, m] \propto P[\alpha|m] \int [dR] \frac{1}{Z_S Z_\chi} e^{Q(R)}. \tag{13}$$

Assuming that $P[\alpha|m]$ is constant, we obtain the SF $R^{\rm MEM}$ by integrating with respect to α around the sharp peak of $P[\alpha|\bar{L}, m]$ as in Eq. (8).

4. Application of MEM to Inversion of LIT

Our question is whether the MEM described in the previous section is useful to invert the integral transformation in Eq. (3). For this purpose, we start from pseudo data of LIT, $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$, that are generated from a 'known' SF $R^{\rm orig}(\omega)$ by using Eq. (3). We then use the MEM to obtain SF from the pseudo LIT data without assuming any functional form for the 'reconstructed' $R(\omega)$. We use the SF of ^{4}He for the spin-dipole operator as $R^{\rm orig}$; this SF has been calculated in Ref. [5]. The SF for the spin-dipole operator that can induce neutrino and anti-neutrino reactions ($\pm$) is given by summing the final scattering states $|\psi_f\rangle$ as

$$R^{J\pm}(\omega) = \Sigma_f \left| \langle \psi_f | \Sigma_j \left[\boldsymbol{\rho}_j \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \right]_{(J)} \tau_j^\pm | \psi_0 \rangle \right|^2 \delta(E_f - E_0 - \omega) \tag{14}$$

where Σ_j denotes sum of the nucleons, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_j$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j$ and τ_j are the internal coordinate, spin, and isospin of the j-th nucleon, respectively. ψ_0 is the ground state of ^{4}He.

The pseudo LIT data $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ as a function of σ_R are shown in Fig. 1 for $\sigma_I = 3, 5, 10$ MeV. The peak of $R^{\rm orig}$ becomes broader in $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ as σ_I is increased.



Fig. 1. Pseudo LIT data of ^{4}He spin-dipole SF. The green dot-dashed, the blue solid and the red dotted curves show $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ for σ_I=3, 5, 10 MeV, respectively.

We then apply the MEM to those pseudo data $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ to obtain the $R^{\rm MEM}$. Here we adopt a constant SF as the default model that is m_i in Eq. (11). The obtained $R^{\rm MEM}$ in comparison with the original SF $R^{\rm orig}$ are shown in Fig. 2. The left panel shows the $R^{\rm MEM}$ reconstructed from $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ with σ_I=3, 5, 10 MeV. The right panel shows the ratio $R^{\rm MEM}/R^{\rm orig}$, showing deviation of the reconstructed SF from the original one. For $\sigma_I = 3, 5$ MeV, the reconstructed SF $R^{\rm MEM}(\omega)$ agree well with $R^{\rm orig}(\omega)$ except near the threshold. The deviation of the ratio $R^{\rm MEM}/R^{\rm orig}$ from one is within 1% in the region 25 MeV $\leq \omega \leq$ 120MeV. On the other hand, for σ_I =10 MeV, the peak of $R^{\rm MEM}(\omega)$ shifts by 1 MeV, and $R^{\rm MEM}$ shows an oscillatory behavior for higher ω region. The deviation from $R^{\rm orig}$ is more than 5%, and is nonnegligible for $\omega <$ 30 MeV.



Fig. 2. The reconstructed SF $R^{\rm MEM}$(Left) and the ratio $R^{\rm MEM}/R^{\rm orig}$(Right).

We have shown that the MEM can be successfully applied to the inversion of LIT without assuming any functional form for SF $R(\omega)$, provided we use LIT data with σ_I sufficiently smaller than 10 MeV. In general, the structures of $R(\omega)$ narrower than σ_I are smeared out in $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$, and it is very

hard to reconstruct the narrow structure of $R(\omega)$. In our example, the width of the peak structure of $R(\omega)$ is about 10 MeV.

In this work, we used the known SF, $R^{\rm orig}(\omega)$, to generate the pseudo data, $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$. Thus, the pseudo data are very accurate at any σ_R and σ_I. In practice, however, we need obtain $L(\sigma_R, \sigma_I)$ from a discrete spectrum that is calculated with the eigenvalue method or the Lanczos algorithm [7]. Therefore, more study is needed to examine if the MEM method applied to the LIT inversion works in the practical situations.

References

[1] W. C. Haxton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1999 (1988).
[2] G. M. Fuller and B. S. Meyer, Astrophys. J. **453**, 792 (1995).
[3] T. Suzuki, S. Chiba, T. Yoshida, T. Kajino and T. Otsuka, Phys. Rev. C**74**, 034307 (2006).
[4] D. Gazit and N. Barnea, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 192501 (2007).
[5] W. Horiuchi and Y. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. C**87**, 034001 (2013).
[6] T. Murata, W. Horiuchi, Y. Suzuki and T. Sato, in progress.
[7] V. D. Efros, W. Leidemann, G. Orlandini, and N. Barnea, J. Phys. G **34**, R459 (2007).
[8] W. Leidemann, Few-Body Syst. **42**, 139 (2008).
[9] R. N. Silver, D. S. Sivia and J,E, Gubernatis Phys. Rev. B **41**, 2380 (1990).
[10] J. E. Gubernatis, M. Jarrell, N. R. Silver, and D. S. Sivia, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 6011 (1996).
[11] M. Asakawa, Y. Nakahara, and T. Hatsuda, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **46**, 459 (2001).
[12] A. Lovato, S. Gandolfi, J. Carlson, S. C. Pieper, and R. Schiavilla, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 1 (2015).
[13] R. Bryan, Eur. Biophys. J. **18**, 165 (1990).
[14] H. Jeffreys, *Theory of Probability (Third Edition)*, (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1998).